

<b><i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i></b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/519,978	<b>Applicant(s)</b> O'NEAL ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Andriae M. Holt	<b>Art Unit</b> 1616	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Andriae M. Holt.

(2) William B. O'Neal.

**Date of Interview:** 11 July 2008

**Type of Interview:**  
☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)  
**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**   ☐ Yes    ☐ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** 1:00 pm

  

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

  
  

Claims discussed:  
34-59

  

Prior art documents discussed:

  
  

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner contacted Mr. O'Neal to discuss synergy data provided. The examiner asked Mr. O'Neal is components A and B of the composition retain synergy when optional components C and/or D are added to the composition. Mr. O'Neal affirmed the question and provided a statement.*

  

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  

/Johann R. Richter/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1616

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

